

APPLICATION NO.

10/629,925

P O BOX 2480

24131

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE

07/30/2003

7590 LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA

HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480

12/01/2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. ZTP01P12002 1935 EXAMINER O MALLEY, KATHRYN S

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

3749

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Harald Moschutz

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,925	MOSCHUTZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathryn S. O'Malley	3749
	inication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply	FOR REDI VIO CET TO EVRIDE AM	ONTHES FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep - Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunciation. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by will, by statute, cause the application to become AB/s after the mailing date of this communication, even if ti	ry (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>02 September 2004</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.	
•	n for allowance except for formal matte ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-10 and 18-20</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6, 7, 11-17</u> is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from consideration. rejected. d to.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the	the Evaminer	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		ov the Examiner.
2 7 7	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	•
	ng the correction is required if the drawing(i i
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat	in for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § by documents have been received. by documents have been received in Applications of the priority documents have been ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies not in	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/629,925

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 2 September 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5, 8-10, and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, as Applicant's comments have given Examiner a fuller understanding of the Takeyama reference, new ground(s) of rejection have been made.
- 2. Regarding Applicant's statement in page 4, second paragraph of the Remarks of 2 September 2004 that the Takeyama reference "is contrary" to the claims of the present application, Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. It seems to this examiner that the Takeyama reference teaches all limitations of present claims 1-5, 8, and 18-20 and, without a precise argument of which limitation Applicant believes to be absent, and as an attempt to contact Applicant's Representative on 23 November 2004 was unsuccessful, the following rejections have been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/629,925

Art Unit: 3749

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 1-5, 8, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent 4,307,096 to Takeyama.
- 5. Takeyama teaches a laundry dryer with rotatable drum 1 and stationary drying rack comprising grilled surfaces bottom 51, sides 52, rear 53, and top 9 wherein the side and rear surfaces are connected to one another by pivoting hinges 55, enabling inner access at all surface edges, and the rack is connected to the drum with fastening devices 8. Note Figures 2, 3, and 8 and the first full paragraph of page 3 of Applicant's Remarks filed 2 September 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeyama, as applied to claim 8 above.
- 8. Takeyama does not teach the thickness ratio claimed. However, such a limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Application/Control Number: 10/629,925

Art Unit: 3749

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

- 9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeyama as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,374,644 to Rhode et al.
- 10. Takeyama does not teach surfaces with netting and a frame. Rhode et al. teaches a similar laundry rack comprising opposing parallel planes 22 and 20 formed of a wire mesh with a frame. Note column 5, lines 46-55 and Figure 1. As Rhode et al. teaches that mesh with a frame will provide a safe and effective barrier for laundry being treated in a rotary drum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the drying rack of Takeyama with the opposing surfaces comprised of mesh and frame taught by Rhode et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (703)308-2844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,925 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KSO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700